



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/466,124	12/21/1999	MITCH A. BRISEBOIS	71493-591	9802
7590 SMART & BIGGAR PO BOX 2999 STATION D 900-55 METCALFE STREET OTTAWA, K1P5Y6 CANADA				
EXAMINER HOM, SHICK C				
ART UNIT 2616				
PAPER NUMBER				
MAIL DATE 08/26/2008				
DELIVERY MODE PAPER				

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

09/466,124

**Applicant(s)**

BRISEBOIS ET AL.

**Examiner**

SHICK C. HOM

**Art Unit**

2616

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SHICK C. HOM.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Jeff Slater for Robert Brett (40476).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 20 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sigler et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Slater explained differences between prior art and invention and will amend claims to better point out the differences. Although examiner agrees to withdraw 112 rejection, review official response and make final decision after reviewing official response, no agreement was reached regarding prior art rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Chi H Pham/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2616